



**Spenard Road Reconstruction:
Minnesota Drive to the Minnesota Drive On-Ramp**
MOA Project Number 03-21
ADOT&PF Project Number HRO-001(172)/53986

**Citizens Advisory Group Meeting
Tuesday, January 17, 2005
United Way Conference Room, 11 am to 1 pm**

Meeting Purpose:

The purpose of the meeting is to meet our citizen advisors; review the project limits; provide an overview of the project development to date and that yet to come, and finally discuss and develop evaluation criteria for design alternatives.

Agenda

- | | |
|-----------------|--|
| 11:00 to 11:20 | Welcome and Introductions |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Anne Brooks, Facilitator/Public Involvement Lead, Brooks & Associates• Mayor Mark Begich• John Smith, P.E., Project Manager, MOA Project Management & Engineering• Judy Dougherty, P.E., Project Manager, Alaska Dept. of Transportation & Public Facilities• Jim Sawhill, Principal in Charge, Lounsbury & Associates |
| 11: 20 to 11:45 | Project Development Process and Schedule |
| | COMPLETED: Safety Study Phase
Engineering Analysis Report (Completed in August 2002) |
| | UNDERWAY (Funded): Environmental Phase
Preliminary Engineering Report
Environmental Documentation
Purpose and Need |
| | FUTURE (to be funded): Design and Construction
Design
Right-of-Way and Utilities
Construction Phase |
| 11:45 to 12:00 | Lunch is served |
| 12:00 to 12:45 | Discuss Alternatives Evaluation Criteria – (see reverse side) |
| 12:45 to 1:00 | Committee Member and/or Team Remarks |
| 1:00 pm | Adjourn |



Spenard Road Reconstruction:
Minnesota Drive to the Minnesota Drive On-Ramp
MOA Project Number 03-21
ADOT&PF Project Number HRO-001(172)/53986

Alternatives Evaluation Criteria

Evaluation criteria help judge the effectiveness of the design alternatives in solving the problem. Knowing the criteria that are most important to the surrounding community helps the design team by focusing the study effort, defining data needs and setting the stage for creating those alternatives.

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Sample of how criteria could be measured</u>
Safety - Motorist	Crash reduction prediction calculations
Capacity	Level of Service (A, B, C...etc)
Safety – Pedestrian/Transit	Meets or exceeds ADA standards
Cost	Construction cost per alternative
Neighborhood impacts	Number of residential properties units impacted
Commercial Impacts	Number of business properties impacted
Environmental	Air quality impacts (modeled values)
Environmental	Noise impacts (measured and modeled values)
Your criteria.....	How it could be measured...